COSGUPCI/PTO 13 DEC 2004

PCT/US2003/020114

WO 2004/002902

10/518248

Oxidation of Organic Compounds

1

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5

10

15

20

25

30

The present invention relates to the in situ and ex situ oxidation of organic compounds in soils, groundwater, process water and wastewater and especially relates to the in situ oxidation of volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, pesticides and herbicides, and other recalcitrant organic compounds in soil and groundwater.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The presence of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semi volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) or pesticides in subsurface soils and groundwater is a well-documented and extensive problem in industrialized and industrializing countries. Many VOC's and SVOC's are compounds which are toxic or carcinogenic, are often capable of moving through the soil under the influence of gravity and serving as a source of water contamination by dissolution into water passing through the contaminated soil. These include, but are not limited to, chlorinated solvents such as trichloroethylene (TCE), vinyl chloride, tetrachloroethylene (PCE), methylene chloride, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA), carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, chlorobenzenes, benzene, toluene, xylene, ethyl benzene, ethylene dibromide, methyl tertiary butyl ether, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorobiphenyls, phthalates, 1,4-dioxane, nitrosodimethyl amine, and methyl tertbutyl ether.

In many cases discharge of these compounds into the soil leads to contamination of aquifers resulting in potential public health impacts and

2

degradation of groundwater resources for future use. Treatment and remediation of soils contaminated with VOC or SVOC compounds have been expensive, require considerable time, and in many cases incomplete or unsuccessful. Treatment and remediation of volatile organic compounds that are either partially or completely immiscible with water (i.e., Non Aqueous Phase Liquids or NAPLs) have been particularly difficult. Also treatment of highly soluble but biologically stable organic contaminants such as MTBE and 1,4-dioxane are also quite difficult with conventional remediation technologies. This is particularly true if these compounds are not significantly naturally degraded, either chemically or biologically, in soil environments. NAPLs present in the subsurface can be toxic to humans and other organisms and can slowly release dissolved aqueous or gas phase volatile organic compounds to the groundwater resulting in long-term (i.e., decades or longer) sources of chemical contamination of the subsurface. In many cases subsurface groundwater contaminant plumes may extend hundreds to thousands of feet from the source of the chemicals resulting in extensive contamination of the subsurface. These chemicals may then be transported into drinking water sources, lakes, rivers, and even basements of homes through volatilization from groundwater.

20

25

5

10

15

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has established maximum concentration limits for various hazardous compounds. Very low and stringent drinking water limits have been placed on many halogenated organic compounds. For example, the maximum concentration limits for solvents such as trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, and carbon tetrachloride have been established at 5 .mu.g/L, while the maximum concentration limits for chlorobenzenes, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and ethylene dibromide have been established by the USEPA at 100 .mu.g/L, 0.5 .mu./L, and 0.05 .mu.g/L, respectively. Meeting these cleanup

criteria is difficult, time consuming, costly, and often virtually impossible using existing technologies.

US 6,474,908 (Hoag, et al) and US 6,019,548 (Hoag et al) teach the use of persulfate with divalent transition metal salts for the destruction of volatile organic compounds in soil. A disadvantage of this technique is that upon oxidation and/or hydrolysis, the divalent metals, added as a catalyst, may undergo oxidation and precipitation, limiting the survivability and transport of the catalyst, and hence the reactivity of the persulfate to the entire field of contamination.

Iron (III) has been known to catalyze the reactions of hydrogen peroxide. (Hydrogen Peroxide; Schumb, W.C.; Satterfield, C.N.; and Wentworth, R.L.; Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York, NY, 1955; pg 469). Iron (III) complexes used with hydrogen peroxide, have been reported to show an ability to oxidize complex pesticides (Sun, Y and Pignatello, J. J. Agr. Food. Chem, 40:322-37, 1992). However Iron (III) is a poor catalyst for the activation of persulfate.

20 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5

10

15

25

30

The present invention relates to a method for the treatment of contaminated soil, sediment, clay, rock, and the like (hereinafter collectively referred to as "soil") containing volatile organic compounds, semi-volatile organic compounds, pesticides and herbicides, as well as the treatment of contaminated groundwater (i.e., water found underground in cracks and spaces in soil, sand and rocks), process water (i.e., water resulting from various industrial processes) or wastewater (i.e., water containing domestic or industrial waste, often referred to as sewage) containing these compounds.

4

The method of the present invention uses one or more water soluble oxidants in combination with a chelated transition metal catalyst under conditions which enable oxidation of most, and preferably substantially all, the organic compounds in the soil, groundwater, process water and/or wastewater.

5

10

The oxidant may be any solid phase, water soluble peroxygen compound, introduced into the soil or water in amounts, under conditions and in a manner which assures that the oxidizing compound is able to contact and oxidize most, and preferably substantially all, the target compounds.

The chelated transition metal catalyst may be composed of divalent or trivalent cationic species of a transition metal. Examples include, but are 15 not limited to, iron, copper, manganese, nickel, chromium, vanadium, silver and zinc. The transition metals can be complexed by a variety of chelants that are known in the literature. Examples of suitable chelants include, but are not limited to: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), hydroxyacetic acid, phthalate, phosphate, pyrophosphate, metaphosphate, 1,2benzenediol, citrate, nitriloacetic acid, tetrahydroxy-1,4-quionone, 1,2-20 dihydroxynaphthalene, hydroxyethylene diphosphonic acid, maleate, ascorbate, and aspartate. By complexing the transition metal catalyst with a chelant, it has been found that the survivability of the catalyst is greatly enhanced, and also that trivalent transition metal cations may be used. As indicated above, in the absence of a chelant, divalent metal cations are 25 converted to trivalent cations which react in the presence of water or carbonate to form insoluble hydroxides or carbonates which precipitate out and/or do not move in the soil with the oxidant thus resulting in the decrease of catalytic activity. Also without the presence of a chelant some trivalent metal cations are not effective catalysts 30

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In accordance with the process of the present invention, organic compounds are oxidized by contacting the organic compound with a composition comprising (a) a water soluble peroxygen compound and (b) a chelated divalent or trivalent transition metal.

In one embodiment of the invention, the oxidation of organic compounds at a contaminated site is accomplished by the injection of a combination of a persulfate and a chelated transition metal catalyst into the soil.

In a preferred form of the invention, sodium persulfate (Na.sub.2 S.sub.2 O.sub.8) is introduced into the soil.

15

20

25

5

For in situ soil treatment, injection rates must be chosen based upon the hydrogeologic conditions, that is, the ability of the oxidizing solution to displace, mix and disperse with existing groundwater and move through the soil. Additionally, injection rates must be sufficient to satisfy the soil oxidant demand and chemical oxidant demand in a realistic time frame and to compensate for any decomposition of the oxidant. It is advantageous to clean up sites in both a cost effective and timely manner. Careful evaluation of site parameters is crucial. It is well known that soil permeability may change rapidly both as a function of depth and lateral dimension. Therefore, injection well locations are also site specific. Proper application of any remediation technology depends upon knowledge of the subsurface conditions, both chemical and physical, and the present process is not different in that respect.

6

While sodium persulfate is the preferred peroxygen compound for oxidizing soil constituents in accordance with the present invention, other solid phase water soluble peroxygen compounds can be used. By "solid phase water soluble peroxygen compound" it is meant a compound that is solid and water soluble at room temperature and contains a bi-atomic oxygen group, O--O. Such compounds include all the dipersulfates, monopersulfates, peroxides, and the like, with the dipersulfates being preferred because they are inexpensive and survive for long periods in groundwater saturated soil under typical site conditions.

5

20

25

The most preferred dipersulfate is sodium persulfate as it has the greatest solubility in water and is least expensive. Moreover, it generates sodium and sulfate upon reduction, both of which are relatively benign from environmental and health perspectives. Potassium persulfate and ammonium persulfate are examples of other persulfates which might be used. Potassium persulfate, however, is an order of magnitude less soluble in water than sodium persulfate; and ammonium persulfate is even less desirable as it may decompose into constituents such as ammonium ion which are potential health concerns.

The most preferred transition metal is iron, which can be used in either the divalent or trivalent state, as it is inexpensive, common to ground soils, and has low toxicity. The most preferred chelating agent is ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), However, any complexing agent, chelant or sequesterant common in the literature may be used. The chelant may be either an organic (carbon based) or inorganic compound (i.e., phosphates), or it may be a combination of inorganic and organic moieties such as organophosphates. Examples include but are not limited to: hydroxyacetic acid, phthalate, phosphate, pyrophosphate, metaphosphate, 1,2-benzenediol, citrate, nitriloacetic acid, tetrahydroxy-1,4-quionone, 1,2-dihydroxynaphthalene, hydroxyethylene diphosphonic acid, maleate,

ascorbate, and aspartate. The chelant is added in sufficient quantity to insure at minimum complete complexation of the transition metal.

Overdosing the chelant is not desirable as the excess chelant may increase the oxidant demand.

The preferred valence state of iron is the trivalent state as it is stable and does not require special handling. Divalent iron compounds are easily oxidized by ambient oxygen.

10

15

The chelant and the transition metal salt may be mixed together, shipped and stored prior to being combined with water in the same vessel and then injected. The peroxygen compound and chelant – metal combination likewise, may be mixed together and shipped or stored prior to being combined with water in the same vessel prior to injection. Solutions of the peroxygen compound and the chelated metal catalyst can be injected simultaneously or sequentially. If injected sequentially, it is preferable that the chelated metal catalyst is injected first. It is also preferred that enough peroxygen compound be injected as to satisfy the soil oxidant demand, compensate for any decomposition and oxidize and destroy the majority if not all of the organic compounds.

Depending upon the type of soil, target compounds, and other oxidant

demand at the site, the concentrations of peroxygen compound used in the
present invention may vary from 0.5 mg/L to greater than 250,000 mg/L.

The preferred concentrations are a function of the soil characteristics,
including the site-specific oxidant demands. Hydrogeologic conditions
govern the rate of movement of the chemicals through the soil, and those

conditions must be considered together with the soil chemistry to
understand how best to perform the injection. The techniques for making
these determinations and performing the injections are well known in the
art. For example, wells or borings can be drilled at various locations in and

8

around the suspected contaminated site to determine, as closely as possible, where the contamination is located. Core samples can be withdrawn, being careful to protect the samples from atmospheric oxidation. The samples can then be used to determine soil oxidant demand, chemical (e.g. VOC) oxidant demand and the oxidant stability existing in the subsurface. The precise chemical compounds in the soil and their concentration can be determined. Contaminated groundwater can be collected. Oxidants can be added to the collected groundwater during laboratory treatability experiments to determine which compounds are destroyed, in what order and to what degree, in the groundwater. It can then be determined whether the same oxidants are able to destroy those chemicals in the soil environment.

5

10

15

20

25

One method for calculating the preferred amount of peroxygen compound to be used per unit soil mass (for an identified volume of soil at the site) is to first determine the minimum amount of peroxygen compound needed to fully satisfy soil oxidant demand per unit mass of uncontaminated soil. A contaminated soil sample from the identified volume of soil is then treated with that predetermined (per unit mass) amount of peroxygen compound; and the minimum amount of peroxygen compound required to eliminate the organic compounds in that treated sample is then determined. Chemical reaction stoichiometry governs the mass/mass ratios and thus the total amount required to achieve the desired result. In actuality the amount of peroxygen compound injected into various locations at a single contaminated site will vary depending upon what is learned from the core samples and other techniques for mapping what is believed to be the subsurface conditions.

The goal is for the concentration of peroxygen compound in the injected

10

15

20

solution to be just enough to result in the peroxygen compound reaction front traveling throughout the area of contamination requiring treatment in sufficient quantity to oxidize the contaminants present. (The saturated soil zone is the zone of soil which lies below the water table and is fully saturated. This is the region in which groundwater exists and flows.) In certain saturated zones where the natural velocity of the groundwater is too slow for the purposes of treatment within a certain timeframe, the velocity of groundwater can be increased by increasing the flow rate of the injected persulfate solution or installation of groundwater extraction wells to direct the flow of the injected peroxygen compound solution. Certain soils to be treated may be in unsaturated zones and the method of peroxygen compound injection may be based on infiltration or trickling of the peroxygen compound solution into the subsurface to provide sufficient contact of the soils with the injected chemicals. Certain soils and conditions will require large amounts of peroxygen compound to destroy soil oxidant demand, while other soils and conditions might not. For example, sandy soils having large grain size might have very little surface area, very little oxidizable compounds and therefore very little soil oxidant demand. On the other hand, silty or clayey soils, which are very fine grained, would have large surface area per unit volume. They are likely to also contain larger amounts of oxidizable compounds, and also may cause a greater degree of decomposition of the peroxygen compound and thus have a higher overall soil oxidant demand.

The concentrations of the chelated transition metal catalyst used in the present invention may vary from 1 to 1000 ppm on a metal cation basis.

25

In addition to in situ applications the process may also be employed ex situ. In addition to soil it may be used to treat sludges, sands, tars, groundwater, wastewater, process water or industrial water.

In order to describe the invention in more detail, the following examples are set forth:

EXAMPLE 1 Study demonstrating efficacy of Fe(III) – EDTA and sodium persulfate

Solid sodium persulfate (3.95 grams) and transition metal (Fe(II) or Fe-EDTA) were added to 40 mL brown glass vials to obtain targeted concentrations.

Distilled water was added to the vial to contain zero headspace and the vial was capped with a teflon lined silicon rubber screw top to prevent loss of volatile organic compounds.

A mixture of the volatile organic compounds (VOC) (in methanol) was injected through the septum of the sealed vials into the water/oxidant/metal mixture.

Controls were constructed, without the addition of sodium persulfate.

All vials were stored at room temperature for 7 days.

Following 7 day reaction period, vials were stored at 4 deg C for analysis.

Analyses were performed on a gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer utilizing USEPA SW-846, Method 8260B

Reaction data were compared to control data in order to factor out any nonoxidative (i.e., volatile) losses that may have occurred

The results are shown in the following table after 7 days of reaction:

	Percent	Reduction Relative	e to Control
(VOC)	Fe(II)	Fe (III)	Fe-EDTA
1,1-DCE	100	96	100
MTBE	85.4	33	50.7
cis-DCE	100	52	100
Benzene	100	78	99
TCE	100	57	100
PCE	100	47	100
Toluene	100	100	100
Chlorobenzene	100	74	100

10

This study demonstrates the ability of chelated trivalent iron to effectively destroy a variety of contaminant compounds.

Example II Study Demonstrating Effectiveness of Differing Chelants

5 Sodium persulfate was dissolved into distilled water to achieve a 10% w / v concentration. The persulfate solution was added to 20 mL volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials.

FeCl₃ was added to achieve a final concentration of 10 mM (550 mg Fe / L) into the vials targeted for the Fe(III) study. For comparison to Fe(II) with persulfate, FeSO₄ was added to achieve a final concentration of 9 mM (500 mg Fe / L) to the appropriate vials.

The following chelants were used.

15

	Cat	Catechol (1,2- benzenediol)	0.92% w/v
	PhTh	Potassium hydrogen phthalate	1.54% w/v
	DHNAH	1,2-dihyroxynaphthalene	1.54% w/v
	NTA	nitriloacetic acid	1/61% w/v + 685 uL 30% NaOH
20	THQ	tetrahydroxy-1,4-quionone	1.45% w/v + 450 uL 30% NaOH
	EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid	3.57% w/v
	GLY	hydroxyacetic acid	0.94% w/v

Distilled water was added to the vial to contain zero headspace and the vial was capped with a teflon lined silicon rubber screw top to prevent volatile loss

10

A mixture of the volatile organic compounds (in methanol) was injected through the septum of the sealed vials into the water/oxidant/metal mixture. Controls identical to the reaction vials were constructed, one with the addition of sodium persulfate alone and one with sodium persulfate and an unchelated iron (II) sulfate.

All vials were stored at room temperature. Analyses were conducted after 7, 14 and 21 days. Analyses were performed on a gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer utilizing USEPA SW-846, Method 8260B.

The results with various organic compounds are shown in the following tables:

Carbon Tetrachloride:										
į		Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
Elapsed Ilme, days	Persuitate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	re(iii) + DHNAH	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
2	12,000	11,000	12,000	11,000	9,400	9,400	008'6	12,000	11,000	12,000
14	12,000	11,000	12,000	12,000	9,300	9,400	5,300	11,000	11,000	12,000
21	11,000	10,000	12,000	12,000	9,300	8,400	5,000	9,800	11,000	13,000

Methyl-t-Butyl ether										
Elpased Time, days	Persulfate	Persulfate + Fe(II)	Persulfate + Fe(III)	Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat	Persulfate + Fe(III) + DHNAH	Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + NTA	Persulfate + Fe(III) + PhTh
0	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
7	13,000	1,900	11,000	11,000	8,300	069	6,400	8,500	12,000	12,000
14	13,000	0	7,000	10,000	000'9	170	440	3,900	9,600	11,000
21	13,000	0	4,600	006'6	4,700	0	250	1,500	3,900	11,000

t-Butyl Alcohol										
									-	
Elpased Time,		Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
days	Persuitate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	Fe(III) + Cat Fe(III) + DHNAH Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + EDTA	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
7	15,000	11,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	3,800	15,000	15,000	17,000	16,000
41	16,000	1,100	14,000	18,000	12,000	1,700	3,600	13,000	15,000	15,000
21	14,000	830	13,000	11,000	14,000	1,600	3,300	8,900	8,900	20,000

									1	
Benzene										
Elpased Time,	3	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate + Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
days	Persuitate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	Fe(III) + Cat Fe(III) + DHNAH Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + EDTA	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
7	2,000	0	1,600	880	0	0	160	0	2,800	3,400
14	2,800	0	0	380	0	0	0	0	240	1,300
21	940	0	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	069

Ethylbenzene										
Elpased Time,	1	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate + Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
days	Persuitate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	Fe(III) + Cat Fe(III) + DHNAH Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + EDTA	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
7	2,600	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0
14	1,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	240	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Toluene										
Elpased Time,	, in the second	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate + Persulfate +	Persulfate +
days	Leisonale	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	Fe(III) + Cat Fe(III) + DHNAH Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + EDTA	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
7	2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	1,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$\overline{}$	 					
	Persulfate +	Fe(III) + PhTh	7,800	0	0	0
	Persulfate +	Fe(III) + NTA	7,800	0	0	0
	Persulfate +	Fe(III) + GLY	7,800	0	0	0
	Persulfate + Persulfate +	Fe(III) + EDTA	7,800	0	0	0
		Fe(III) + THQ	7,800	0	0	0
	Persulfate + Persulfate +	Fe(III) + Cat Fe(III) + DHNAH Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	7,800	0	0	0
		Fe(III) + Cat	7,800	0	0	0
	Persulfate +	Fe(III)	7,800	0	0	o
	Persulfate +	Fe(II)	7,800	0	0	0
	3	Persultate	7,800	2,600	400	0
m,p-Xylene	Elpased Time,	days	0	7	14	21

Chlorobenzene										
Elpased Time, days	Persulfate	Persulfate + Fe(II)	Persulfate + Fe(III)	Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat	Persulfate + Fe(III) + DHNAH	Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Persulfate + Fe(III) + PhTh
0	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
7	7,100	0	2,000	1,200	310	0	0	0	3,100	4,100
14	3,100	0	0	550	180	0	0	0	320	2,100
21	1,300	0	0	290	0	0	0	0	0	1,100

1,2-Dichlorobenzene										
į	3	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
Elpased lime, days	Persunate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	DHNAH	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
7	8,900	0	7,600	4,100	1,400	610	3,500	3,900	8,300	7,200
14	5,300	0	3,000	3,300	1,200	0	180	088	2,700	6,100
21	3,400	0	880	2,600	1,100	0	110	190	380	2,000

1,3-Dichlorobenzene										
Elpased Time, days	Persulfate	Persulfate + Fe(II)	Persulfate + Fe(III)	Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat	Persulfate + Fe(III) +	Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Persulfate + Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + NTA	Persulfate + Fe(iii) + PhTh
0	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
7	8,300	0	6,700	3,000	1,300	0	1,400	2,500	7,400	9'000
14	4,200	0	2,400	2,100	1,000	0	0	330	4,400	4,100
21	2,800	0	620	1,400	940	0	0	0	320	2,500

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	-2.									
	l	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
cipased lime, days	rersulate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	PE(III) +	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100
7	5,800	0	5,300	4,000	280	069	3,100	3,900	2,600	4,100
14	3,100	0	3,200	3,500	250	250	250	1,700	4,300	3,400
21	1,800	0	1,600	2,900	240	170	250	220	1,600	2,700

Elpased Time, days Persulfate + S,700 Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gall Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gall Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gall Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gall Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gall Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gall Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + THQ <	1,1-Dichloroethene										
Persulfate + Fe(III) Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gat Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Persulfate + Fe(III) + Gat Persu											
9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Elpased Time, days	Persulfate	Persulfate + Fe(II)	Persulfate + Fe(III)		Persulfate + Fe(III) +	Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ	Persulfate + Fe(III) + EDTA	Persulfate + Fe(iii) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + NTA	Persulfate + Fe(III) + PhTh
9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 9,700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						DHNAH					
7 5,700 0 <td>0</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>6,700</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>9,700</td> <td>9,700</td>	0	9,700	9,700	9,700	9,700	9,700	9,700	6,700	9,700	9,700	9,700
14 2,400 0 <td>7</td> <td>5,700</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	7	5,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21 1,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14	2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	21	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	+		Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
Persultate Fe(Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	Pe(III) +	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + EDTA	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
10,000 10,0		10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
8,200 0		1,500	1,400	130	0	0	0	2,100	3,100
5,200 0		0	640	0	0	0	0	540	1,700
3,600		0	320	0	0	0	0	0	200
	a	Persulfate + Fe(II) 10,000 0 0 0	Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(II) Fe(III) 10,000 10,000 0 1,500 0 0 0	Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(II) Fe(III) 10,000 10,000 0 1,500 0 0	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) Fe(III) + Cat 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 0 1,400 0 640 0 0 320	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + Fe(III) + Cat DHNAH 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 0 0 640 0 0 0 0 320 0 0	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat Fe(III] + Cat DHNAH 10,000	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + Fe(III) + Cat DHNAH 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 0 0 640 0 0 0 0 320 0 0	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persul

Elpased Time, days Persulfate + Fe(II) Fe(III) 0 2,400 2,400 2,400 7 1,700 0 390										
Persulfate + Fe(II) 2,400 2,400 1,700 0	-									
2,400	 	Persulfate + Fe(II)		Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat	Persulfate + Fe(III) + DHNAH	Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Persulfate + Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + NTA	Persulfate + Fe(III) + PhTh
0	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
	1,700	o	390	350	0	0	0	0	095	029
14 860 0 0	860	0	0	160	0	0	0	0	130	290
21 530 0 0	530	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120

_	
_	
_	
ī	
٠,	
1	
_	
_	
ς	
`	
-	
Ξ	
7	

Trichloroethene										
Elpased Time, days Persulfate	Persulfate	Persulfate + Fe(II)	Persulfate + Fe(III)	Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat	Persulfate + Fe(III) + DHNAH	Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Persulfate + Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + NTA	Persulfate + Fe(III) + PhTh
0	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
7	7,700	0	2,000	1,600	240	100	0	150	3,200	3,100
14	4,000	0	110	730	110	0	0	0	250	1,100
21	2,400	0	0	350	0	0	0	0	0	360

Tetrachloroethene								-		
Elpased Time, days	Persulfate	Persulfate + Fe(II)	Persulfate + Fe(III)	Persulfate + Fe(III) + Cat	Persulfate + Fe(III) + DHINAH	Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ	Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Persulfate + Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Persulfate + Fe(III) + GLY	Persulfate + Fe(III) + NTA	Persulfate + Fe(III) + PhTh
0	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
7	2,600	0	6,900	3,700	2,700	300	2,900	3,600	006'9	6,600
14	3,500	0	2,600	2,600	2,500	150	140	220	5,100	5,500
21	2,300	0	099	1,700	1,700	110	0	0	260	3,700

1,4-Dioxane										
Elpased Time,	Dog	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate + Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate + Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
days	rersunate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	Fe(III) + Cat Fe(III) + DHNAH Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + EDTA	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
7	17,000	0	009'6	10,000	3,900	0	0	2,200	8,800	12,000
14	14,000	0	2,100	8,400	1,500	0	o	0	8,300	7,300
21	17,000	0	0	7,800	0	0	0	0	0	7,200

4-Methyl-2-Pentanone										
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	l	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +	Persulfate +
cipased line, days	Leisunate	Fe(II)	Fe(III)	Fe(III) + Cat	DHNAH	Fe(III) + THQ	Fe(III) + THQ Fe(III) + EDTA Fe(III) + GLY Fe(III) + NTA Fe(III) + PhTh	Fe(III) + GLY	Fe(III) + NTA	Fe(III) + PhTh
0	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
7	13,000	880	11,000	11,000	7,000	150	4,600	6,700	13,000	11,000
14	14,000	0	5,000	12,000	4,200	0	170	2,200	9,400	9,700
21	14,000	250	2,000	000'6	2,600	0	0	410	1,600	7,100

This study demonstrates the ability of chelated iron to effectively destroy a variety of contaminants.

5 Example III Study demonstrating the effects of catalyst dosage at elevated pH

The study was conducted in VOA 40 mL vials. The vials were dosed with a stock contaminant mixture made up in methanol consisting of chlorinated ethenes, aromatics, and other compounds (see table below), to approximately 10,000 ppm. To each vial was added 3.95 g of sodium persulfate, representing a 2x stoichiometric dose, including the available methanol.

Fe(III) – EDTA was added to each vial to achieve either a 100 mg / L or a 500 mg / L concentration of iron, except for control vials, which contained neither persulfate nor catalyst.

The pH was adjusted to approximately 9 with sodium carbonate.

20

10

All vials were stored at room temperature for 7 days.

Following the 7 day reaction period, the vials were stored at 4 deg C for analysis.

25

Analyses were performed on a gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer utilizing USEPA SW-846, Method 8260B

Reaction data were compared to data on the control vials in order to factor out any non-oxidative (i.e., volatile) losses that may have occurred

		Fe-ED	TA
	mg/L Fe	100	500
% decomposition after 7 days			
VC		99	99
1,1-DCE		99	99
MTBE		92	49
hexane		98	98
c12-DCE		99	99
chloroform		51	10
1,1,1-TCA		42	19
Benzene		99	99
TCE		99	99
toluene		99	99
PCE		99	99
chlorobenzene		99	99
total VOC's		87	74
total ethenes		99	99
total aromatics		99	99

The data demonstrates that for ethenes and aromatics, the degree of contaminant degradation is insensitive to the level of catalyst added. However, for several of the VOC's, an increase in catalyst is actually detrimental to the elimination of the VOC, indicating that the level of catalyst needs to be selected for the particular VOC or VOC mixture to be treated.

Example IV Comparison of the Long Term effectiveness of Iron II and Fe-EDTA in a Soil Environment.

Iron II salts lose their effectiveness in a soil environment due to their oxidation to iron III and the subsequent precipitation of iron III as an insoluble hydroxide or carbonate. One of the advantages of using chelated iron III complexes (such as Fe-EDTA) is that they are 1) already oxidized and 2) will remain soluble over a wide pH range. Thus chelated iron III complexes potentially will transport with persulfate and continue to function as an activator.

A series of experiments were conducted to compare the long-term effectiveness of different activators. A 40% soil water slurry was dosed with persulfate (1gram/250ml of water) and different activators. It was dosed with a mixture of 1,4-Dioxane, ter-butyl alcohol and MTBE. Both the persulfate and the contaminant mixture were redosed periodically. The water phase was analyzed periodically for the VOCs. The data was then plotted as a cumulative % degradation of the VOCs. The following table gives the results.

20

5

10

15

The water phase in the Fe II reaction started out as an orange color. Within 1 week it was clear. This is an indication that the iron II was oxidized and

precipitated. By comparison the Fe-EDTA supernatant remained light orange over the course of the experiment.

As can be seen from the table the activity of Fe II decreases with time.

After about 4 weeks the activity is flat. After 4 weeks most of the loss in total VOC (TVOC)s in the Fe II experiment can be attributed to the slow reaction of persulfate by itself. By contrast the Fe EDTA experiment shows a continual increase in TVOC oxidation.

	Week 1*	Week 3	Week 5*	Week 7	Week 8
	Ter-Butyl				
	Alchohol,				
	mg/L				
Control	11	37	35	55	57
No Fe	12	35	31	45	37
Fe II	13	30	29	43	54
Fe EDTA		37	25	38	25
	1,4-				
	Dioxane,				
	mg/L				
Control	14	31	32	52	50
No Fe		27	34	38	35
Fe II	8	17	20	22	16
Fe EDTA		15	4.2	0	0
	MTBE,				
	mg/L				
Control	6.7	24	29	35	39
No Fe	6.4	20	18	29	26
Fe II		13	3.8	7.8	4
Fe EDTA	7	15	4.2	0	0
	TVOC,				
<u> </u>	mg/L				
Control	31.7	92	96	142	146
No Fe	31.4	82	83	112	98
Fe II	27.9	60	52.8	72.8	74
Fe EDTA	30	67	33.4	38	25
	%				
	Change				
	TVOC				
No Fe	0.9	10.9	13.5	21.1	32.9
Fe II		34.8	45.0	48.7	49.3
Fe EDTA	5.4	27.2	65.2	73.2	82.9

Example V. Comparison of Iron Availability in soil.

Solutions of persulfate (500 mg/liter of water) and iron (Fe II and Fe-EDTA) were injected into a soil matrix at two separate sites. The concentration of the iron was then measured in monitoring wells at a distance downgradient of the injection well. The following table shows that un-chelated divalent iron does not transport from the point of injection, while chelated iron does.

Iron Catalyst	Initial	Distance from	Concetration of
	Concentration as	injection point, m	iron, mg/L as Fe
	Fe, mg/L		
Ferrous Sulfate	187	1.5	0.287
(divalent iron –	,		
unchelated)			
Fe-EDTA	100	5	75-100 ¹

^{10 1.} Estimated based on color observed

^{*} VOCs & Persulfate redosed